From: Alan Burnham

Sent: Wednesday, February 16, 2022 8:59 PM
To: Cityclerk - Livermore < CityclerkGroup@cityoflivermore.net>; Marie Weber <mcweber@cityoflivermore.net>
Cc: Bob Woerner

Swepter <mcweber@cityoflivermore.net>
Subject: Community of Interest

Exercise Caution: This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Marie,

There was a discussion of non-map Community of Interest input to the redistricting process. As you may recall, this COI description is what I submitted on January 25. As the basis for the redistricting plan I eventually entire district 3 shown below and follows major natural breaks. We walk regularly downtown, through the college and president streets, and the along arroyo through Robertson Park. Our neighborhood schools were J




https://districtr.org/plan/104852		
Copy to Clipboard		
You can close this window and keep we Even if you share the link, nobody bu changes will save to a new link.	0,	,
Would you like to Share Now, or save Share Now Work in Progress	e the map as a Work in Progr	ess?
Tag or Event Code #livermore	Team or Plan Name	
	AKB2	

3) Describe your Community (Specific boundaries. What makes it a community? Why should it be kept together?):

After seeing other maps and hearing the discussion thereof, I realized that the largest defect of the existing maps is that the north Livermore downtown neighborhood is split betwee experience when our children were growing up. I suspect that Junction Avenue school, for example, is not in the same district of most of its attendees. In addition, the railroad tracking ust as much of a barrier to walking and biking as a freeway. I live in District 3 and have more friends in and a much stronger affiliation with the South Livermore downtown neighborhood, and we gained friends through our children's friends. Also, the South Livermore neighborhood seems to have little in common with the various north Livermore downtown neighborhood in the same district. Finally, the two districts with the smallest populations are the ones more likely to increase in population due to develop

Alan Burnham

Use this URL to share your plan!



map feedback

Hi City Council!

I live in District 1 and have been looking at the proposed maps. I personally prefer the boundaries drawn in Plan Crimson, with the exception of the boundaries between Districts 2 & 3. It makes more sense to me to keep that boundary along 1st Street as it is drawn in the other three plans.

On Plan Crimson, I like the way District 1 & 2 boundaries follow N. Livermore Ave. to Junction Ave. and completely include the apartments on Enos Way and school on Junction Avenue in my district. I feel that the people in that community have more in common with District 1 than other districts. I also really like the way Plan Crimson draws the boundary between District 1 & 3 along Railroad Avenue rather than something further south. As changes happen in the downtown area, I think the people living south of Railroad Ave have more in common with District 3 residents.

Thanks for all the hard work you have been putting into this and thank you for including the community in this decision process!

Sarah Spencer

Name

Melanie YOung

Email



Message

My number 1 choice is for Plan Ruby because it keeps the East side of Livermore together and does not split Maple in half for Zone #3. Our side of town which includes Livermore high school should include everything east of Livermore Avenue and south of first street. A more logical split- if there population numbers don't work - is to exclude south of College avenue and put it into Zone 4. That is already a more logical split because of school and sports boundaries. Of these final choices though, only Plan Ruby works and represents Zone 3 adequately.